·	Application No. Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/046,176 HaseGAWA of al. Examiner Art Unit
	Donghai D. Nayyen 3729
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	
11) Peter Vo	13) John W. Fitzpatrick
Date of Interview 10/12/2004	(4)
Date of Interview	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applican	t 2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)	e) No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed:	v e
Identification of prior art discussed:	amoto at al
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reache	ed. g)□ was not reached b)□ N/Δ
in claim a applicants tepped does not anticipate the ela	al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or escaped and the elaimed invention escaped in vention the examiner rejections.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
, a see most so attached.)	erate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Misleady been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH ER	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE rd of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
_	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required